TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Thursday, May 7, 2020
**9:30 AM**

REMOTE CONFERENCING ONLY
There will be NO physical location of the meeting.
Please see all the special meeting instructions at the end of this agenda

Join Zoom Meeting online at: https://zoom.us/j/950428194
OR
Via Zoom app: Meeting ID: 950 428 194
OR
By teleconference at: +1 669 900 6833, Code: 950 428 194

Any person who has a question concerning an item on this agenda may call the Agency Secretary to make inquiry concerning the nature of the item described on the agenda.

1. ROLL CALL

Call to order and self-introductions. According to Transportation Agency and Committee bylaws, Committee membership consists of representatives from the Transportation Agency voting and ex-officio members, and other agencies that may be appointed by the Transportation Agency. Currently the Committee membership includes representatives from 12 Cities, the County, MST, Caltrans, City of Watsonville, the Air District, and AMBAG, for a total of 18 members. Five members of the Technical Advisory Committee, representing voting members of the Transportation Agency Board of Directors, constitute a quorum for transaction of the business of the committee. If you are unable to attend, please contact the Committee coordinator. Your courtesy to the other members to assure a quorum is appreciated.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any member of the public may address the Committee on any item not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the Committee. Under this item, each member of
the public is allowed three minutes to address concerns. Comments in items on this agenda may be given when that agenda item is discussed. Persons who wish to address the Committee for public comment or on an item on the agenda are encouraged to submit comments in writing to Maria at maria@tamcmonterey.org by 5:00 pm the Tuesday before the meeting, and such comments will be distributed to the Committee before the meeting.

3. **BEGINNING OF CONSENT AGENDA**

   Approve the staff recommendations for items listed below by majority vote with one motion. Any member may pull an item off the Consent Agenda to be moved to the end of the CONSENT AGENDA for discussion and action.

   **3.1 APPROVE** the draft Technical Advisory Committee Minutes for March 5, 2020.

   - Zeller

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

4. **RECEIVE** an update on the results of the Measure X annual audit and compliance reporting for 2018/19.

   - Zeller

   *The purpose of the Measure X annual audit is to confirm that the funding recipients -- TAMC, the County of Monterey and the twelve incorporated cities -- have complied with the voter-approved requirements specified in Ordinance 2016-01 for the Transportation Safety and Investment Plan.*

5. **RECEIVE** presentation from Caltrans District 5 on the US 101 Business Plan and **PROVIDE INPUT** to Caltrans District 5 staff.

   - McClendon (Caltrans)

   *Caltrans is proposing to conduct a planning analysis of the US 101 within the Central Coast, including Monterey County. The purpose of the US 101 Business Plan is to provide the data, strategy, and community support needed to secure needed financial investment in the US 101 corridor. Caltrans will present on the US 101 Business Plan and engage committee members in discussion to help identify key parties to be involved in the development of the Business Plan.*

6. **NOMINATE** up to four members of the Technical Advisory Committee to serve on the TAMC Competitive Grant Application Review Committee.

   - Zeller
The Transportation Agency Board approved a new cycle of competitive grants at its March 2020 meeting. The grant applications for projects proposed for funding are due June 1, 2020. Agency staff is seeking to establish an ad hoc committee to review and rank the applications, and provide funding recommendations.

7. ANNOUNCEMENTS

8. ADJOURN
Next Committee meeting will be on
Thursday, June 4, 2020 at 9:30 am

Important Meeting Information

Remote Meetings: On March 12, 2020, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-25-20, which enhances State and Local Governments ability to respond to COVID-19 Pandemic based on Guidance for Gatherings issued by the California Department of Public Health. The Executive Order specifically allows local legislative bodies to hold meetings via teleconference and to make meetings accessible electronically, in order to protect public health. The public is strongly encouraged to use the Zoom app for best reception. Prior to the meeting, participants should download the Zoom app at: https://zoom.us/download. A link to simplified instruction for the use of the Zoom app is: https://blog.zoom.us/wordpress/2018/07/03/video-communications-best-practice-guide/.

Remote Meeting Public Comment: Due to current circumstances, there may be limited opportunity to provide verbal comments during the meeting. Persons who wish to address the Committee for public comment or on an item on the agenda are encouraged to submit comments in writing to maria@tamcmonterey.org by 5:00pm the Tuesday before the meeting. Such comments will be distributed to the Committee before the meeting. Members of the public participating by Zoom are instructed to be on mute during the proceedings and to speak only when public comment is allowed, after requesting and receiving recognition from the Chair.

Agenda Packet and Documents: Any person who has a question concerning an item on this agenda may call or email the Agency office to make inquiry concerning the nature of the item described on the agenda. Complete agenda packets are on display online at the Transportation Agency for Monterey County website. Documents relating to an item on the open session that are distributed to the Committee less than 72 hours prior to the meeting shall be available for public review at the Agency website. Agency contact information is as follows:

Transportation Agency for Monterey County
www.tamcmonterey.org
Office is closed an all employees are working remotely until further notice
TEL: 831-775-0903
EMAIL: info@tamcmonterey.org

Agenda Items: The agenda will be prepared by Agency staff and will close at noon nine (9) working days before the regular meeting. Any member of the Committee may request in writing an item to appear on the agenda. The request shall be made by the agenda deadline and any supporting papers must be furnished by that time or be readily available.
Alternative Agenda Format and Auxiliary Aids: If requested, the agenda shall be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC Sec. 12132), and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof. Individuals requesting a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, may contact Transportation Agency staff at 831-775-0903. Auxiliary aids or services include wheelchair accessible facilities, sign language interpreters, Spanish language interpreters, and printed materials in large print, Braille or on disk. These requests may be made by a person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in the public meeting and should be made at least 72 hours before the meeting. All reasonable efforts will be made to accommodate the request.

CORRESPONDENCE, MEDIA CLIPPINGS, and REPORTS - No items this month
Memorandum

To: Technical Advisory Committee
From: Michael Zeller, Principal Transportation Planner
Meeting Date: May 7, 2020
Subject: Draft Technical Advisory Committee Minutes - March 5, 2020

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
APPROVE the draft Technical Advisory Committee Minutes for March 5, 2020.

ATTACHMENTS:

☐ DRAFT TAC Minutes March 5, 2020
# TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

**Meeting Held At**  
Transportation Agency for Monterey County  
Conference Room 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas

**FINAL Minutes of Thursday, March 5, 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMITTEE MEMBERS</th>
<th>MAR 19</th>
<th>APR 19</th>
<th>MAY 19</th>
<th>JUN 19</th>
<th>AUG 19</th>
<th>SEP 19</th>
<th>OCT 19</th>
<th>NOV 19</th>
<th>JAN 20</th>
<th>FEB 20</th>
<th>MAR 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Pick, Del Rey Oaks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Dobbins Gonzales (M. Sundt)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P/A</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Pike, Greenfield (T. Nisich)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. Hurtado, King City, <strong>Vice Chair</strong> (S. Adams)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. McMinn, Marina (E. Delos Santos)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Renny, Monterey (F. Roveri)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Gho, Pacific Grove (M. Brodeur)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Easterling, Salinas, <strong>Chair</strong> (J. Serrano)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Ottmeyer, Seaside (L. Llantero)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Wilcox, Soledad (B. Slama, E. Waggoner)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Saavedra, MCPW (R. Martinez)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant, Monterey County Economic Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Adamson, AMBAG (P. Hierling)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. McCluney, CSUMB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Romero, MBUAPCD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Said, FORA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Rheinheimer, MST (M. Overmeyer)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
<td>P(A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. ROLL CALL

Vice Chair Octavio Hurtado, City of King, called the meeting to order at 9:30 am. Introductions were made and a quorum was established.

1.1 ADDITIONS OR CORRECTIONS TO AGENDA

None.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

3. BEGINNING OF CONSENT AGENDA

M / S / C: McMinn / Harary / unanimous

Ayes: Harary, Dobbins, Pike, Hurtado, McMinn, Gho, Gutierrez, Gomez, Ottmeyer, Martinez
No: none
Abstain: none

3.1 APPROVE the minutes of the Technical Advisory Committee meeting of February 6, 2020.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA
4. **2022 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN – PROJECT REQUESTS**

Madilyn Jacobsen, Transportation Planner, provided an update on the 2022 Regional Transportation Plan’s development and process for updating jurisdictional project lists.

Ms Jacobsen presented that projects being proposed for state and federal funding must be identified in a Regional Transportation Plan, which the Agency updates on a 4-year cycle. The Regional Transportation Plan includes a listing of regionally significant projects on the regional road, highway, rail and transit networks planned over the time horizon of the plan, which add capacity and need to be included in the AMBAG Regional Travel Demand Model. The plan must also identify all other planned local street, bike/pedestrian and transit projects that may use state or federal funding. The list of projects identified in the plan must be consistent with the needs, goals and priorities identified in the policy element and the total cost of those projects must fall within the funding capacity of the long range revenue forecast. The draft list of projects is comprised of the project list from the 2018 Regional Transportation Plan, and will be sent out separately for each jurisdiction to review with instructions on how to submit their edits.

5. **ZERO FATALITIES TASK FORCE**

Madilyn Jacobsen, Transportation Planner, presented an update on the Zero Fatalities Task Force report published by the California State Transportation Agency.

Ms. Jacobsen presented that Assembly Bill 2363 (Friedman) established the Zero Traffic Fatalities Task Force. The statutory goal of the Task Force is to develop a structured, coordinated process for early engagement of all parties to develop policies to reduce traffic fatalities to zero. The Task Force examined alternatives to the 85 percentile as a method for determining speed limits in California. The report recommends allowing cities more flexibility in setting speed limits, allowing them to keep current speed limits even if a survey shows that 85 percent of drivers are exceeding the limit, and creating more classes of locations where speed limits can be set at a particular speed without having to do a traffic survey (for example, near schools and in business districts). It also recommends developing a way to conduct traffic speed surveys that takes into account bike and pedestrian safety. The California Association of Governments are working with Assembly Members Friedman and Ting on Assembly Bill 2121, introduced February 6, 2020. TAMC staff will monitor this bill and bring a recommendation to the Executive Committee and full Board.

Octavio Hurtado, City of King, responded that the city received a grant to prepare a local road safety plan, which will provide safety ideas and allow the city to pursue additional grant funding.
Brian McMinn, City of Marina, asked the committee to think about traffic calming measures as well and if that will really fix the issue that’s trying to be addressed. Consider making lanes smaller when resurfacing and adding bike lanes to help slow down traffic.

6. **PERMAZYMEl SUBGRADE TREATMENT PRESENTATION**

   This item was pulled.

7. **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

   Rich Deal, Principal Engineer, requested that the Committee members let TAMC know if there are any events that need to be added or amended on the special events calendar. He also announced that the International Roundabout Conference will be held in Monterey on May 18 – 20th.

   Brian McMinn, City of Marina, announced that the American Public Works Association will be holding its General Meeting, hosted by Santa Cruz, at the Back Nine Bar and Grill on March 26th.

   Paul Hierling, AMBAG, announced that the Youth Community Access Grant program is requesting comments on the draft guidelines due March 27th.

   John Olejnik, Caltrans D5, announced that the US 101 Business Plan will have stakeholder meetings coming up. Also, Caltrans completed the Big Sur Traffic Demand Management Plan and will present it at a future TAMC Board meeting.

8. **ADJOURN**

   The meeting was adjourned at 10:49 am.
**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
RECEIVE an update on the results of the Measure X annual audit and compliance reporting for 2018/19.

**SUMMARY:**
The purpose of the Measure X annual audit is to confirm that the funding recipients -- TAMC, the County of Monterey and the twelve incorporated cities -- have complied with the voter-approved requirements specified in Ordinance 2016-01 for the Transportation Safety and Investment Plan.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**
Approved by the 68% of the voters in 2016, Measure X was projected to generate an estimated $20 million annually, for a total of $600 million over thirty years. Revenues have been collected since April 2017, and in fact, the receipts for fiscal year 2018/19 totaled $30,855,130. The funding source is a retail transactions and use tax of 3/8% of each dollar spent. The revenue from the sales tax measure can only be used to fund transportation safety and mobility projects in Monterey County. A maintenance of effort requirement exists to assure that the cities and county do not use Measure X funding to backfill prior levels of transportation expenditures.

**DISCUSSION:**
The Transportation Agency has fiduciary responsibility for the administration of the voter-approved Transportation Safety and Investment Plan (Measure X) funds. Each jurisdiction entered into a tax sharing agreement with the Transportation Agency in order to receive their share of Measure X Local Streets & Roads revenues. In exchange, these agreements require the jurisdictions to submit audit reports annually to the Transportation Agency detailing the steps taken to comply with the implementing ordinance.

In accordance with the Measure X Policies and Procedures, the Citizens Oversight Committee established a subcommittee on October 15, 2019 to conduct the independent review of the revenues and expenditure of Measure X funds. The subcommittee was asked to report the results of the audit to the full committee at their next meeting and to prepare the Measure X Annual Report.

The second year of Measure X reporting, for fiscal year 2018/19, was due on December 31, 2019. For this year’s independent audits, there has been a marked improvement in compliance by the jurisdictions from the previous reporting period. All of the jurisdictions, with the exception of Greenfield, submitted their complete reports by the December 31, 2019 deadline.

For Pacific Grove, due to unanticipated project delays and the change in the Maintenance of Effort calculation...
methodology, the City did not make enough project expenditures to meet their Maintenance of Effort requirement. For the City of Salinas, their annual report indicated that Measure X funds were spent on a project that the City had been informed by the Transportation Agency was ineligible. In both instances, the cities have repaid the funds to their Measure X accounts from non-Measure X sources as remediation. The Transportation Agency Board approved at the February 2020 meeting that this course of action brought the cities back into compliance with the Measure X implementing ordinance and funding agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City or County</th>
<th>Funds Received</th>
<th>Reports Submitted on Time?</th>
<th>Annual Program Compliance Report</th>
<th>Maintenance of Effort</th>
<th>5-Year Program of Projects</th>
<th>Independent Financial Audit</th>
<th>Pavement Management Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td>$7,874,149</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmel</td>
<td>$213,999</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Rey Oaks</td>
<td>$77,950</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales</td>
<td>$217,496</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield</td>
<td>$466,264</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King City</td>
<td>$424,371</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina</td>
<td>$732,675</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td>$1,122,588</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Grove</td>
<td>$609,434</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas</td>
<td>$4,639,064</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand City</td>
<td>$30,830</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaside</td>
<td>$1,101,253</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soledad</td>
<td>$622,048</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,132,120</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the February 2020 meeting of the Measure X Citizens Oversight Committee, committee members requested that Transportation Agency staff return to the April 2020 Citizens Oversight Committee meeting with potential options for additional actions that may be taken with jurisdictions that are not in compliance. Currently, the Measure X ordinance and funding agreements call for funds to be withheld until a jurisdiction rectifies the issue and is back in compliance. Agency staff is proposing to deposit any interest earned on withheld funds in the Measure X regional account as payment for the administrative costs of withholding funds. Agency staff will present and discuss these issues with the Technical Advisory Committee at the April meeting.
Memorandum

To: Technical Advisory Committee
From: Rich Deal, Principal Engineer
Meeting Date: May 7, 2020
Subject: Presentation on US 101 Business Plan

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
RECEIVE presentation from Caltrans District 5 on the US 101 Business Plan and PROVIDE INPUT to Caltrans District 5 staff.

SUMMARY:
Caltrans is proposing to conduct a planning analysis of the US 101 within the Central Coast, including Monterey County. The purpose of the US 101 Business Plan is to provide the data, strategy, and community support needed to secure needed financial investment in the US 101 corridor. Caltrans will present on the US 101 Business Plan and engage committee members in discussion to help identify key parties to be involved in the development of the Business Plan.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
No impacts to the Agency budget. The Business Plan has potential to help promote the economy along the US 101 in Monterey County, generating more future tax revenues for the region.

DISCUSSION:
Caltrans District 5 is creating the US 101 Business Plan in collaboration with the US 101 Central Coast Coalition. The US 101 Central Coast Coalition formed to raise awareness of the US 101 Corridor within the boundaries of Caltrans District 5 as a major economic asset to the state and nation and encourage investment on the corridor.

Caltrans is proposing to conduct the planning analysis based on 10 segments of US 101 within the Central Coast. These segments were established in the 2014 Caltrans Transportation Concept Report, and are based on traffic flow characteristics as well as surrounding land use patterns. District 5 Planning consulted with District 5 Traffic Operations and District 5 Traffic Forecasting to confirm that the proposed segmentation are still applicable based on current traffic flows and land uses.

The purpose of the US 101 Business Plan is to provide the data, strategy, and community support needed to secure needed financial investment in the US 101 corridor. Caltrans staff will be working with interested parties during the development of the Business Plan.

Caltrans staff has prepared a few discussion points for the Technical Advisory Committee. Please send answers to Kelly McClendon (Kelly.mcclendon@dot.ca.gov) and/or Vanessa Faul (Vanessa.faul@dot.ca.gov).

- Question 1: Is your jurisdiction/agency interested in more in-depth groups to help with the plan development?
If interested, please share your contact information and indicate applicable segment(s) of interest.

- Question 2: Do you know anyone else that could be interested in a focus group? (for example Chamber of Commerce, Disadvantaged Communities along US 101, Corridor Groups, ...)
- Question 3: See figures 1 and 2 – do the identified segments make sense? (See attached)

Also attached to the Staff Report is the US 101 Business Plan Fact Sheet and the US 101 Business Plan Charter.

ATTACHMENTS:

- US 101 Business Plan - Segments
- US 101 Business Plan Fact Sheet
- US 101 Business Plan Charter
Figure 1: US 101 Business Plan Limits and Segments
SEGMENTATION:
Segment 1: Ventura/Santa Barbara County Line – Hollister Ave Interchange
Segment 2: Hollister Ave Interchange - Clark Ave Interchange
Segment 3: Clark Ave Interchange – SR 166 East Interchange
Segment 4: SR 166 E Interchange – South Higuera St Interchange
Segment 5: S Higuera St Interchange – SR 58 Interchange
Segment 6: SR 58 Interchange – SR 46 E Interchange
Segment 7: SR 46 E Interchange – Airport Blvd Interchange
Segment 8: Airport Blvd Interchange – Sala Rd Interchange
Segment 9: Sala Rd Interchange – Monterey/San Benito County Line
Segment 10: Monterey/San Benito County Line – San Benito/Santa Clara County Line
US 101 BUSINESS PLAN

LOCATION
US 101 along the Central Coast between Southern California and the San Francisco Bay Area.

STUDY PURPOSE
The US 101 Business Plan will provide the data, strategy, and community support needed to match corridor priorities with potential funding mechanisms for implementing improvements in the US 101 corridor.

PARTNERS
The US 101 Business Plan is being developed as a partnership between Caltrans District 5 and the Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Regional Transportation Planning Agencies within the Central Coast.

STUDY OUTCOMES
- Inform statewide priorities for investment
- State business case for making investments in US 101 corridor
- Identify needs, performance criteria, and priority improvements
- Explore trends (e.g. housing growth and jobs-housing balance issues)
- Develop a financial plan that identifies total funding needs, funding gaps, and potential funding sources

Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision, Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures</th>
<th>WINTER 19/20</th>
<th>SPRING 20</th>
<th>SUMMER 20</th>
<th>FALL 20</th>
<th>WINTER 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gather Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Project Identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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US 101 Business Plan Goals and Objectives

Goal: Safety & Health
Provide safety for all users of the transportation system and promote public health.
Objectives:
- Toward Zero Deaths – Reduce fatalities.
- Health Index – Increase Healthy Places Index score by 2025.
- Clean Air – Reduce fine particulate matter concentration by 2025.

Goal: Sustainability & Climate Change
Practice environmental stewardship, preserve the transportation system, reduce pollution, and mitigate impacts of climate change.
Objectives:
- Vehicle Miles Traveled – Reduce per capita VMT by 2025.
- Mode Share & Active Commuting – Increase active commuting (transit, walking, or cycling) by 2025.
- Alternative Fuel Stations – Increase alternative fuel stations by 2025.

Goal: Economy
Manage the corridor’s assets, support the economy, and enhance the region’s livability.
Objectives:
- Lost Productivity – Decrease lost productivity by 2025.
- Truck Travel Time Reliability – Improve truck travel time reliability.
- Jobs – Increase jobs per capita.

Goal: Mobility
Provide a reliable and efficient transportation system for all people and goods.
Objectives:
- Vehicle Hours of Delay – Reduce vehicle hours of delay.
- Transit - Pending.
- Travel Time Reliability – Improve travel time reliability.

Goal: Equity
Promote social equity and ensure all socio-economic groups have accessible and equitable level of transportation services.
Objectives:
- CalEnviroScreen – Increase CalEnviroScreen 3.0 score by 2025.
- Hardship Index – Increase Hardship Index by 2025.
- Bike/Ped Access in Disadvantaged Communities – Increase over/undercrossing numbers in DAC by 2025.
## Background

The US 101 Central Coast Coalition (Coalition) formed to raise awareness of the US 101 Corridor within the boundaries of Caltrans District 5 as a major economic asset to the state and nation and encourage investment on the corridor.

## Purpose

The purpose of the US 101 Business Plan is to provide the data, strategy, and community support needed to secure needed financial investment in the corridor.

## Goal and Objectives

The goal is to create a multi-modal investment strategy with mutual support of the Coalition.

**Objectives:**

- Build upon success of the Coalition and continue to raise the profile of the region at the state and national levels.
- Inform statewide priorities for investment including, but not limited to the California Transportation Plan, the 2021 Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan, and the California Freight Mobility Plan.
- State a business case for making investments in the US 101 corridor and be useful as a marketing tool.
- Develop a financial plan that identifies total funding needs, gaps and potential funding sources.
- Engage stakeholders, including the business community and major regional industries.
- Identify improvement categories, performance criteria and priority projects.
- Follow strategic direction established by Governor’s Executive Order N-19-19, which requires “every aspect of state government redouble its efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and mitigate the impacts of climate change while building a sustainable, inclusive economy.”
- Consider transportation issues and needs on key routes connecting to US 101.
## Timeframe

Completion Winter 2020

## Roles and Responsibilities

Caltrans will lead the Business Plan efforts with full participation by the Central Coast Coalition members. Coalition members agree to participate in the collaborative planning stages.

## Steering Committee

The Steering Committee is composed of the Coalition MPO/RTPA Executive Directors and the Caltrans District Director.

## Document Approval

Endorsed by all Coalition member agencies.
US 101 Business Plan Charter

Approvals

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARJIE KIRN, Executive Director, Santa Barbara County Association of Governments</td>
<td>12/6/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETER RODGERS, Executive Director, San Luis Obispo Council of Governments</td>
<td>12/6/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBBIE HALE, Executive Director, Transportation Agency for Monterey County</td>
<td>12/6/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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**Memorandum**

**To:** Technical Advisory Committee  
**From:** Michael Zeller, Principal Transportation Planner  
**Meeting Date:** May 7, 2020  
**Subject:** Competitive Grants - Review Committee

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

**NOMINATE** up to four members of the Technical Advisory Committee to serve on the TAMC Competitive Grant Application Review Committee.

**SUMMARY:**  
The Transportation Agency Board approved a new cycle of competitive grants at its March 2020 meeting. The grant applications for projects proposed for funding are due June 1, 2020. Agency staff is seeking to establish an ad hoc committee to review and rank the applications, and provide funding recommendations.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**  
The three-year estimated funding of Regional Surface Transportation Program is $3.6 million for fair share and up to $12.2 million for the competitive program. The $12.2 million includes $9.36 million of new Regional Surface Transportation Program funds, $750,000 of new Transportation Development Act 2% for bicycle and pedestrian project funds, and up to $2.1 million unexpended from the last competitive grants cycle. The deadline for billing to the prior funding cycle is three years after approval of the grants, or August 31, 2020.

**DISCUSSION:**  
The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program is a federal program that provides states and local jurisdictions with funding for highway improvements, street rehabilitation and transportation enhancements. The Transportation Agency receives an annual apportionment of Regional Surface Transportation Program funding, passed through the State. Because Monterey County didn’t have an urbanized area with a population of greater than 200,000 in the 1990 census, it qualified for the state exchange program, whereby Caltrans keeps the federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Program apportionments for a region and gives the regional agency an equivalent amount of state cash.

The Transportation Agency distributes Regional Surface Transportation Program funding in both fair-share and competitive programs. Monterey County and the cities receive fair-share Regional Surface Transportation Program funds based on a formula of 50% population and 50% centerline miles. The total fair share allocation over the next three years is $3.6 million.

The Transportation Agency distributes the remaining portion of the Regional Surface Transportation Program funding on a competitive basis to transportation projects based on a variety of criteria, including safety, traffic volume and project readiness. The competitive grants process starts with a call for projects, which was approved by the Transportation Agency Board at the March 2020 meeting, and the release of grant application materials.
With the grant application deadline set at June 1, 2020, staff is seeking to establish an ad hoc committee made up of members of the Bicycle & Pedestrian Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, partner agencies, and Transportation Agency staff to review and rank the applications, and provide funding recommendations. Staff is requesting that at the meeting the Committee nominate up to four members for the grants review committee. Those entities who are applying for grants are not eligible to serve on the grant application review committee.